

# ARTICLES – RESEARCH METHODS AND REPORTING



1. [STATISTICS NOTES: BRACKETS \(PARENTHESES\) IN FORMULAS](#)
2. [HOW TO OBTAIN THE CONFIDENCE INTERVAL FROM A P VALUE](#)
3. [STATISTICS NOTES : HOW TO OBTAIN THE P VALUE FROM A CONFIDENCE INTERVAL](#)
4. [VERIFICATION PROBLEMS IN DIAGNOSTIC ACCURACY STUDIES: CONSEQUENCES AND SOLUTIONS](#)
5. [RECOMMENDATIONS FOR EXAMINING AND INTERPRETING FUNNEL PLOT ASYMMETRY IN META - ANALYSES OF RANDOMISED CONTROLLED TRIALS](#)
6. [INTERPRETING AND REPORTING CLINICAL TRIALS WITH RESULTS OF BORDERLINE SIGNIFICANCE](#)
7. [STATISTICS NOTES: COMPARISONS WITHIN RANDOMISED GROUPS CAN BE VERY MISLEADING](#)



# ARTICLES – RESEARCH METHODS AND REPORTING



- ❖ [ECONOMIC EVALUATION USING DECISION ANALYTICAL MODELLING: DESIGN, CONDUCT, ANALYSIS, AND REPORTING](#)
- ❖ [ECONOMIC EVALUATION ALONGSIDE RANDOMISED CONTROLLED TRIALS: DESIGN, CONDUCT, ANALYSIS, AND REPORTING](#)
- ❖ [STRENGTHENING THE REPORTING OF GENETIC RISK PREDICTION STUDIES: THE GRIPS STATEMENT](#)
- ❖ [STATISTICS NOTES: CORRELATION IN RESTRICTED RANGES OF DATA](#)
- ❖ [INTERPRETATION OF RANDOM EFFECTS META-ANALYSES](#)
- ❖ [STRATEGY FOR INTENTION TO TREAT ANALYSIS IN RANDOMISED TRIALS WITH MISSING OUTCOME DATA](#)
- ❖ [THREE TECHNIQUES FOR INTEGRATING DATA IN MIXED METHODS STUDIES](#)
- ❖ [ASSESSING EQUITY IN SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS: REALISING THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE COMMISSION ON SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH](#)



# ARTICLES – RESEARCH METHODS AND REPORTING



- ❖ [META-ANALYSIS OF INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPANT DATA: RATIONALE, CONDUCT, AND REPORTING](#)
- ❖ [EVALUATING POLICY AND SERVICE INTERVENTIONS: FRAMEWORK TO GUIDE SELECTION AND INTERPRETATION OF STUDY END POINTS](#)
- ❖ [IMPORTANCE OF ACCURATELY IDENTIFYING DISEASE IN STUDIES USING ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORDS](#)
- ❖ [TAKING HEALTHCARE INTERVENTIONS FROM TRIAL TO PRACTICE](#)
- ❖ [RANDOM MEASUREMENT ERROR AND REGRESSION DILUTION BIAS](#)
- ❖ [AN IV FOR THE RCT: USING INSTRUMENTAL VARIABLES TO ADJUST FOR TREATMENT CONTAMINATION IN RANDOMISED CONTROLLED TRIALS](#)
- ❖ [IS A SUBGROUP EFFECT BELIEVABLE? UPDATING CRITERIA TO EVALUATE THE CREDIBILITY OF SUBGROUP ANALYSES](#)
- ❖ [RETHINKING PRAGMATIC RANDOMISED CONTROLLED TRIALS: INTRODUCING THE “COHORT MULTIPLE RANDOMISED CONTROLLED TRIAL” DESIGN](#)
- ❖ [CONSORT 2010 EXPLANATION AND ELABORATION: UPDATED GUIDELINES FOR REPORTING PARALLEL GROUP RANDOMISED TRIALS](#)
- ❖ [CONSORT 2010 STATEMENT: UPDATED GUIDELINES FOR REPORTING PARALLEL GROUP RANDOMISED TRIALS](#)



# ARTICLES – RESEARCH METHODS AND REPORTING



- ❖ PROBLEM OF IMMORTAL TIME BIAS IN COHORT STUDIES: EXAMPLE USING STATINS FOR PREVENTING PROGRESSION OF DIABETES
- ❖ ECONOMIC IMPACT OF DISEASE AND INJURY: COUNTING WHAT MATTERS
- ❖ THE IMPACT OF OUTCOME REPORTING BIAS IN RANDOMISED CONTROLLED TRIALS ON A COHORT OF SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS
- ❖ PREPARING RAW CLINICAL DATA FOR PUBLICATION: GUIDANCE FOR JOURNAL EDITORS, AUTHORS, AND PEER REVIEWERS
- ❖ STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF HOSPITAL STANDARDISED MORTALITY RATIOS
- ❖ THE ROUTINE USE OF PATIENT REPORTED OUTCOME MEASURES IN HEALTHCARE SETTINGS
- ❖ THE PRISMA STATEMENT FOR REPORTING SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS AND META-ANALYSES OF STUDIES THAT EVALUATE HEALTHCARE INTERVENTIONS: EXPLANATION AND ELABORATION




# ARTICLES – RESEARCH METHODS AND REPORTING



- ❖ GOOD PUBLICATION PRACTICE FOR COMMUNICATING COMPANY SPONSORED MEDICAL RESEARCH: THE GPP2 GUIDELINES
- ❖ USE OF MULTIPERSPECTIVE QUALITATIVE INTERVIEWS TO UNDERSTAND PATIENTS' AND CARERS' BELIEFS, EXPERIENCES, AND NEEDS
- ❖ BIAS IN IDENTIFYING AND RECRUITING PARTICIPANTS IN CLUSTER RANDOMISED TRIALS: WHAT CAN BE DONE?
- ❖ THE TYRANNY OF POWER: IS THERE A BETTER WAY TO CALCULATE SAMPLE SIZE?
- ❖ USE OF SERIAL QUALITATIVE INTERVIEWS TO UNDERSTAND PATIENTS' EVOLVING EXPERIENCES AND NEEDS
- ❖ CRITICAL REFLECTIONS ON THE RISE OF QUALITATIVE RESEARCH



# ARTICLES – RESEARCH METHODS AND REPORTING



- ❖ MULTIPLE IMPUTATION FOR MISSING DATA IN EPIDEMIOLOGICAL AND CLINICAL RESEARCH: POTENTIAL AND PITFALLS
- ❖ THE DOUBLE JEOPARDY OF CLUSTERED MEASUREMENT AND CLUSTER RANDOMISATION
- ❖ PREFERRED REPORTING ITEMS FOR SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS AND META-ANALYSES: THE PRISMA STATEMENT
- ❖ ASSESSING THE SEVERITY OF THE NOVEL INFLUENZA A/H1N1 PANDEMIC
- ❖ PROGNOSIS AND PROGNOSTIC RESEARCH: APPLICATION AND IMPACT OF PROGNOSTIC MODELS IN CLINICAL PRACTICE
- ❖ PROGNOSIS AND PROGNOSTIC RESEARCH: VALIDATING A PROGNOSTIC MODEL
- ❖ PROGNOSIS AND PROGNOSTIC RESEARCH: DEVELOPING A PROGNOSTIC MODEL
- ❖ PROGNOSIS AND PROGNOSTIC RESEARCH: WHAT, WHY, AND HOW?



# ARTICLES – RESEARCH METHODS AND REPORTING



- ❖ [PUBLICATION GUIDELINES FOR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT STUDIES IN HEALTH CARE: EVOLUTION OF THE SQUIRE PROJECT](#)
- ❖ [IMPROVING THE REPORTING OF PRAGMATIC TRIALS: AN EXTENSION OF THE CONSORT STATEMENT](#)
- ❖ [EVALUATING SERVICE DELIVERY INTERVENTIONS TO ENHANCE PATIENT SAFETY](#)
- ❖ [DEVELOPING AND EVALUATING COMPLEX INTERVENTIONS: THE NEW MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL GUIDANCE](#)